

## Questions for EZQuiz 6

- State our textbook's version of the Turing thesis. Solution: "Any computation that can be carried out by mechanical means can be performed by some Turing machine." (p244)
- Define *algorithm*. Solution: A function  $f$  has an algorithm if there exists a Turing machine that halts with tape contents  $f(w)$  when given input  $w$ , for all  $w$  in the domain of  $f$ . [This is a simplified version of definition 9.5, page 246.]
- List five different types of Turing machines that have equivalent computational power. Solution: single semi-infinite tape, single infinite tape, multi-track, multi-tape, nondeterministic.
- Define *universal Turing machine*. Solution: "an automaton that, given as input a description of any Turing machine  $M$  and a string  $w$ , can simulate the computation of  $M$  on  $w$ ." (p266)
- Give a definition of *recursively enumerable languages*. Solution: definition 11.1, page 276.
- Give a definition of *recursive languages*. Solution: definition 11.2, page 276.
- Give a concrete description of a language that is recursively enumerable, but not recursive. Solution: Let  $M_1, M_2, \dots$  be an enumeration of Turing machines with the input alphabet  $\{a\}$ . Let  $L$  be the language that contains  $a^i$  if and only if  $a^i \in L(M_i)$ . Then it can be shown that  $L$  is recursively enumerable, but not recursive. [This language is used in the proofs of theorems 11.3 and 11.5, pp279–281.]
- State the relationship between recursively enumerable languages and grammars. Solution: Every unrestricted grammar generates an r. e. language, and every r. e. language is generated by an unrestricted grammar. [This combines theorem 11.6 (p283) and theorem 11.7 (p287).]
- Prove that the halting problem is undecidable. Solution: Suppose not. Then there exists a Turing machine  $H$  that solves the halting problem. Specifically,  $H$  outputs "y" on input  $w_M w$  if  $M$  halts on input  $w$ , and otherwise outputs "n". By making some simple changes to  $H$ , we can produce  $D$ , which enters an infinite loop on input  $w_M$  if  $M$  halts on input  $w_M$ , and otherwise halts. Consider the behavior of  $D$  on input  $w_D$ . By the definition of  $D$ , this computation halts if and only if it does not halt. This contradiction tells us that neither  $D$  nor  $H$  can exist, proving the desired result. [This is an abbreviated and altered version of the proof of theorem 12.1, page 300.]
- Give two examples of practical problems that are undecidable (the halting problem does not count). Solution: (i) determining whether programs can crash; (ii) determining whether context-free grammars are ambiguous.