

Class 2: Password security. v2

Note Title

Main objective for today: work out how long it takes to crack different types of passwords

[Go over syllabus.]

[Go over discussion questions from the lab]

① Cryptographic hash functions

A hash function is a computational procedure that creates a fingerprint of some data (e.g. a file, or a password). The fingerprint, or hash, has a fixed length.

Also called digest, or checksum

example given any number, we output the last 2 digits. e.g. '157447' becomes '47'. This is a hash function, transforming a number of any length into a 2-digit hash.

A collision is when 2 different inputs hash to the same value

exercise: give an example of a collision for the above hash function.

A cryptographic hash function is a hash function for which no-one knows how to efficiently create collisions. (For a decent-sized hash, random guessing is not efficient - it would take billions of years to find a collision.)

Famous examples

see below for explanation

- MD5 - creates a 128-bit hash
 - published 1992
 - has been cracked and is not secure - collisions are known! See wikipedia page.

- SHA-256 - creates a 256-bit hash
 - published 2001
 - some weaknesses known, but still considered secure. See wikipedia.

Demos: Use web search to find an online SHA-256 calculator. Compute the SHA-256 hash of "Dickinson". How about "dickinson"?

The length of the hash is usually measured in bits or bytes. Bits are the 1s and 0s actually stored in a computer.

A byte is (roughly) the same thing as a character (like "a", "b", or "5" or "?") and it takes 8 bits to represent one byte. So a SHA-256 hash is 256 bits or 32 bytes or 32 characters. (or 64 hexadecimal digits, but don't worry if you don't know what this is).

② What are cryptographic hash functions used for?

Many, many things. One application is to verify passwords without storing them:

- computer does not store your password
- instead, stores (e.g. SHA-256) hash of your password
- when you enter your password, the computer calculates the hash and compares with stored version.

③ An attack model for password cracking + number of guesses needed

We assume a malicious hacker has obtained access to a system's file of password hashes. The hacker guesses passwords randomly, computing the hash of each one to see if it matches a hash on the system.

Number of guesses required, on average, is:

$$\text{avg num guesses} = \frac{1}{\text{num hashes} \times \text{prob of single correct guess}}$$

e.g. If password file has 50 hashes, and chance of correct guess is one-in-a-million, avg guesses needed is $1 / 50 \times \frac{1}{10^6} = \frac{10^6}{50} = 20,000$.

Exercise: How many guesses are needed, on average, to crack one password from a file of 1000 hashes, if each guess succeeds with probability one-billionth?

Math help: 10^6 means $10 \times 10 \times \dots \times 10$ ^{six times}
or 1 with 6 zeroes after it
ie. 1,000,000 ie. 1 million.

in typed text, sometimes written 10^6

④ Chance of success with a guess

Chance of success is just $\frac{1}{\text{num possibilities}}$.

e.g. (a) For a password selected at random from a dictionary of 50,000 words, chance of success is $\frac{1}{50,000}$

(b) for a 4-digit PIN (ie. a numeric password),
number of possibilities is

$$10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 = 10^4 = 10,000$$

↑
num possibilities
for each digit

$$\text{Chance of success is } \frac{1}{10,000}$$

(c) for a 6-letter alphabetic password (all lowercase),
num possibilities is

$$26 \times 26 \times \dots \times 26 = 26^6 \approx 300 \text{ million} \\ = 3 \times 10^8$$

$$\text{Chance of success is about } \frac{1}{3 \times 10^8}$$

(5) How long to crack?

A modern desktop computer can compute (very roughly)
100,000 hashes per second.

$$\text{Average time to crack} = \frac{\text{avg num guesses needed}}{\text{num hashes per second}} \\ \text{(in seconds)}$$

Exercise: How long to crack a 6-letter lowercase password?

⑥ If time, discussion about this 100,000 hashes per second number:

- specialized machinery can be much faster
e.g. - 'DES cracker' from 1998 did 10¹⁰/sec.
- used multiple machines is faster
- how does this compare with the numbers from our lab? If different, why?

⑦ If time, discuss some interesting results from the optional reading.

Source + further reading: Some of these notes are based on Smith, §6.2-6.4. That is a good place for additional information.