

Claim $H \circ S$ is undecidable

Assume $H(P, I)$ returns $\begin{cases} \text{'yes'} & \text{if } P \text{ halts on } I \\ \text{'no'} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

considers $W(P)$:

- $v = H(P, P)$
- if $v = \text{'yes'}$, loop else halt

Does W halt on W ? 2 cases:

a) W halts on W i.e. $H(W, W) = \text{'yes'}$.

But this means $W(W)$ loops. \neq

b) W loops on W i.e. $H(W, W) = \text{'no'}$.

But this means $W(W)$ halts. \neq

Claim $H \in T \notin \text{Poly}$

Assume $H(P, I)$ returns $\begin{cases} \text{'yes'} & \text{if } P \text{ halts in } < 2^{|I|} \text{ steps} \\ \text{'no'} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

and $H(P, I)$ requires $\leq r(|P| + |I|)$, some poly r .

considers $W(P)$:

- $v = H(P, P)$
- if $v = \text{'yes'}$, loop else halt

Does $W(W)$ halt in $< 2^{|W|}$ steps? 2 cases:

a) $W(W)$ halts in $< 2^{|W|}$ steps i.e. $H(W, W) = \text{'yes'}$.

But this means $W(W)$ loops. \neq

b) $W(W)$ halts in $\geq 2^{|W|}$ steps i.e. $H(W, W) = \text{'no'}$.

Then running time of W is \leq

$$C + r(2^{|W|})$$

\uparrow some const \leftarrow bound for H

Thus

$$C + r(2^{|W|}) \geq 2^{|W|}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}_{\text{poly exp}}$

contradiction for sufficiently large $|W| \neq$.