Undecidability and more, using real computer programs

John MacCormick

Dickinson College and University of East Anglia

Computer programs vs Turing machinesdef containsGAGA(inString): if 'GAGA' in inString: return 'yes' else: return 'no' $C;S$ $C;S$ $C;S$ G; S $G;S$ A; S $T;S$ T ; S $T: S$ $C;R$ G ; R A; R G;R A; R A; R q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 accept T;R

 $-$; S

 $-$; S

 $q_{\rm reject}$

 $\sqrt{ }$; s

 \mathcal{L}_{S}

rf ≡ readFile

>>> rf('wasteland.txt')

>>> rf('geneticString.txt')

>>> rf('containsGAGA.py')

Programs can analyze other programs, and they can analyze themselves

• A program analyzing another program:

>>> countLines(rf('containsGAGA.py'))

• A program analyzing itself:

>>> countLines(rf('countLines.py'))

• [demo: Word reading Word]

Some example decision programs we will need:

```
def yes (inString) :
```

```
return 'yes'
\overline{2}
```

```
def longerThan1K(inString):
      if len(inString) > 1000:
\overline{2}return 'yes'
     else:
\overline{4}return 'no'
```
Suggestion: fill in this table interactively

Suggestion: fill in this table interactively

Definition of yesOnString.py

yesOnString.py
$$
(P, I)
$$
 =
$$
\begin{cases} \text{``yes''} & \text{if } P \text{ is a Python program, } P(I) \\ \text{``no''} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Suggestion: fill in this table interactively

Solutions for yesOnString.py:

$$
\text{yesOnString.py}(P, I) = \begin{cases} \text{``yes''} \\ \text{``no''} \end{cases}
$$

Definition of yesOnSelf.py

$$
y \in \text{SOnSelf.py}(P) = \begin{cases} \text{``yes''} \\ \text{``no''} \end{cases}
$$

Suggestion: fill in this table interactively

$$
yesOnSelf.py(P) = \begin{cases} \text{``yes''} \\ \text{``no''} \end{cases}
$$

Solutions for yesOnSelf.py:

$$
yesOnSelf.py(P) = \begin{cases} \text{``yes''} \\ \text{``no''} \end{cases}
$$

notYesOnSelf.py reverses yesOnSelf.py

$$
\text{yesOnSelf.py}(P) = \begin{cases} \text{``yes''} \\ \text{``no''} \end{cases}
$$

if P is a Python program, $P(P)$ is defined, and $P(P) = "yes",$ otherwise.

$$
\text{notYesOnSelf.py}(P) = \begin{cases} \text{``no''} \\ \text{``yes''} \end{cases}
$$

Suggestion: use the earlier results to fill in the bottom table interactively

Solutions for yesOnSelf.py and notYesOnSelf.py :

… therefore, notYesOnSelf.py cannot exist!

If yesOnString.py existed, we could create notYesOnSelf.py

```
from yesOnString import yesOnString
```

```
_2 def yesOnSelf (progString):
```
return yesOnString(progString, progString)

```
def notYesOnSelf(progString):
    val = yesOnSelf (progString)\bf{2}if val == 'yes':return 'no'
4
    else:
        return 'yes'
6
```
Therefore, yesOnString.py can't exist either

Proof:

- 1. Assume yesOnString.py exists
- 2. Create notYesOnSelf.py as on previous slide (and summarized here)
- 3. This contradicts the impossibility of notYesOnSelf.py

By combining many tricks into one program, a much briefer proof is possible

```
from yesOnString import yesOnString
_2 def weirdYesOnString (progString):
     if yesOnString(progString, progString) == 'yes':
        return 'no'
\overline{4}else:
        return 'yes'
6
```
Proof that yesOnString.py doesn't exist:

- 1. Assume yesOnString.py exists
- 2. Create weirdYesOnString.py as above
- 3. Observe that weirdYesOnString.py produces a contradiction when given itself as input (it outputs "yes" if and only if it outputs "no")

Similar reasoning shows that no program can correctly predict, for all possible inputs, whether other programs will crash

Proof that crashOnString.py doesn't exist:

- 1. Assume crashOnString.py exists
- 2. Create weirdCrashOnSelf.py as shown
- 3. Observe that weirdCrashOnSelf.py produces a contradiction when given itself as input (crashes if and only if it doesn't crash)

Be careful to interpret the "impossibility of bug-finding programs" correctly

- It is true that no program *P* can correctly predict, for all programs *Q*, whether *Q* will crash
- However, *P* might work correctly on many inputs
- Software companies and academic researchers invest great effort in doing exactly this: developing programs *P* that work efficiently and correctly on useful classes of software

Many other ideas from theoretical computer science can be taught using real computer programs

Examples:

- Universal computation
- Non-decision programs
- Complexity theory
- Gödel's incompleteness theorem

Universal Python program

def universal(progString, inString):

- # Execute the definition of the function in progString. This defines
- # the function, but doesn't invoke it.
- exec (progString)

Now that the function is defined, we can extract a reference to it. $programction = utils. extractMainFunction (progString,$

 $locals()$

Invoke the desired function with the desired input string. return progFunction (inString)

Use *non-decision* problems for better learning outcomes

Prove results in complexity theory

Example: this program provides a proof that we can't determine in subexponential time whether or not a program requires super-exponential time

```
# Import H, which is assumed to solve HaltsInExpTime in polynomial
# time. (In reality, we will prove that H cannot exist.)
from H import H
def weirdH(progString):
    if H(progString, progString) == 'yes':# deliberately enter infinite loop
        print ('Entering infinite loop...')
        utils.loop()
    else:
        # The return value is irrelevant, but the fact that we return
        # right away is important.
        return 'finished already!'
```

```
We can even prove Gödel's first
incompleteness theorem!
```

```
def godel(inString):
    qodelProg = rf('qodel.py')haltIn Peano = convertHaltToPeano (qodelProq)not \text{HaltInPeano} = 'NOT ' + halfIn Peanoif provableInPeano(notHaltInPeano) == 'yes':
        return 'halted' # any value would do
    else: # This line will never be executed! But anyway...
        utils.loop() # deliberate infinite loop
```
An ASCII string representing a statement in number theory that is true but unprovable!

That sounds interesting. How can I learn CS theory using real computer programs?

Answer: There is a new text book from Princeton University Press that takes this approach:

What Can Be Computed?: A Practical Guide to the Theory of Computation

Also, there's a SIGCSE paper: "Strategies for basing the CS theory course on non -decision problems." In *Proc. ACM SIGCSE*, pp521 -526, 2018.

WHAT CAN BE COMPUTED?

A PRACTICAL GUIDE TO THE THEORY OF COMPUTATION

